



First Named Inventor	Frankie F. Roohparvar	<b>RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 U.S.C. § 1.116</b>
Serial No.	09/608,580	
Filing Date	June 30, 2000	
Group Art Unit	2818	
Examiner Name	Trong Q. Phan	
Confirmation No.	9345	
Attorney Docket N <sup>o</sup> .	400.006US01	
Title: ZERO LATENCY-ZERO BUS TURNAROUND SYNCHRONOUS FLASH MEMORY		

NIE  
#17/Response  
3/31/03  
C.P.

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 U.S.C. § 1.116**

Commissioner for Patents  
BOX AF  
Washington, D.C. 20231

In response to the Final Office Action mailed January 24, 2003, please consider the following remarks:

**REMARKS**

**Claim Objections**

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

RECEIVED  
MAR 28 2003  
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

Claims 2, 19-20 and 22-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

The Office Action dated January 24, 2003 stated “[t]he features as recited in claims 2, 19-20, and 22-23 are not understood because they are not described in the specification.” The Office Action gave no further explanation of which specific elements in claims 2, 19-20, and 22-23 were considered not to be described in the specification as required under MPEP, Eighth Edition, August 2001, § 706.03(c) and MPEP, Eighth Edition, August 2001, § 706.03(c), Form ¶ 7.31.02, Examiner Note, Item 3.